	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 15-10324(MG)
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	
7	CALEDONIA BANK LIMITED,
8	
9	Debtor.
10	
11	x
12	
13	U.S. Bankruptcy Court
14	One Bowling Green
15	New York, New York
16	
17	February 16, 2015
18	3:08 PM
19	
20	BEFORE:
21	HON MARTIN GLENN
22	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
23	
24	
25	

	Page 2
1	Hearing re: The petitioners' ex parte application for a
2	temporary restraining order (Dkt. 4)
3	
4	Hearing re: Motion for an order scheduling recognition
5	hearing and specifying form and manner of service of notice
6	(Dkt. 5)
7	
8	Hearing re: Motion for entry of an order waiving the
9	requirements of Fed. R. Bank. P. 1007(a)(4) (Dkt. 7)
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Transcribed by: Dawn South

	Page 4
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE COURT: We're here in Caledonian Bank Limited,
3	15-10324. Let me make sure (indiscernible) working. Sorry
4	it's a little dark in here, one series of lights doesn't
5	seem to be working this afternoon.
6	All right, let me have the appearances, please.
7	MR. RAICHT: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Geoffrey
8	Raicht, R-A-I-C-H-T, from Proskauer Rose
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	MR. RAICHT: for Caledonian Bank. And I
11	MS. DALE: Margaret Dale.
12	MS. HOPKIN: Leigh Hopkin (ph).
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	MR. MASUMOTO: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Brian
15	Masumoto for the Office of the United States Trustee.
16	THE COURT: All right, thank you very much.
17	MR. COWART: Good afternoon, Your Honor,
18	(indiscernible) from the U.S. Attorney's Office.
19	THE COURT: I just tell me your last name
20	again.
21	MR. COWART: It's Cowart, C-O-W-A-R-T.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	All right. Mr. Raicht?
24	MR. RAICHT: Your Honor, before we begin anything

just on behalf of the controllers we thank Your Honor for

L	allowing us to come in today for this TRO. We acknowledge
2	it's a public holiday, and I'm sure the Court had other
3	plans for today, as well as the U.S. Trustee's Office and
<u>1</u>	the U.S. attorney. We know it's unusual and we appreciate
5	it, and they would not ask for it if they didn't think there
5	was an urgency.

Your Honor, if I may propose just a little bit of background --

THE COURT: Sure.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RAICHT: -- of this case.

THE COURT: I did read all the papers.

MR. RAICHT: Okay. So why don't I -- much of my presentation would be in the papers, and without belaboring you, what we are here for today, Your Honor, is to seek a temporary restraining order for a period of time that hopefully will bridge us first temporarily and then ultimately to a recognition hearing.

I think there are probably a couple of things we should talk about in terms of who we'd be seeking to enjoin temporarily and why, and then if I may one issue about -- or one just observation about the ultimate recognition hearing just to make sure we put cards with Your Honor.

So there are approximately 1,500 depositors with Caledonian Bank spread across the Cayman Islands, some are mom and pops down in Cayman, U.S. depositors, and depositors

1	across the rest of the world. I was actually speaking with
2	Mr. Masumoto a moment ago, I don't know precisely the
3	breakdown as to how much U.S. depositors there are, but what
4	I am advised is that of the top 30 U.S. depositors that
5	number is approximately less than \$125 million of total
6	deposits. The largest depositor being approximately
7	\$100 million, and then the 30 largest being about \$9,500.
8	THE COURT: Tell me the top 30 in the U.S. total
9	how much?
10	MR. RAICHT: Less than 125 million.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. RAICHT: And refresh Your Honor's
13	recollection, it's about \$580 million of total deposits.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
15	MR. RAICHT: So what we'd be looking to do today
16	is to enjoin depositors from taking commencing any
17	action, taking any action with respect to their accounts. I
18	am concerned about commencement of actions, you know, either
19	to seize assets, then mention of potential involuntary
20	proceedings, preserve the status quo.
21	The controllers were appointed on Thursday, they
22	are very much trying to get their arms around who the
23	accounts are, where they're held, but the one thing they are
24	concerned about is a the dismemberment of the estate

before they can get off the ground.

So primarily the depositors will be looking to enjoin. We would of course give notice of the TRO to Judge Pauley in the District Courts so he is aware of what we are doing here. Our TRO I believe is clear that we are seeking this TRO would be subject to the TRO --

THE COURT: Yeah, I saw that.

MR. RAICHT: Yeah.

THE COURT: I saw that. And any changes that are made to that TRO as well.

MR. RAICHT: Correct, Your Honor.

We would be giving notice to the Cayman Island monetary authority who is the regulator down in Cayman of the bank. We would be giving notice to the banks here in the United States that are -- currently hold the deposits. The shareholder of the bank.

I say a news article over the weekend, I don't know how accurate it is, but the shareholder is seeking to sell its interest in the bank to a third party. I would want to make sure that whatever the shareholder does does not infringe upon what we're doing here. And obviously the defendants who are in the District Court action, I believe that's probably who we'd be looking the likely parties would be.

We were hoping for something between sort of to Your Honor's views 10 to 14 days out on a preliminary

1 injunction basis and then obviously come back for a 2 recognition hearing. 3 THE COURT: Just give me a second, I had a couple 4 of questions. 5 MR. RAICHT: Sure. 6 THE COURT: So there are accounts in Northern 7 Trust and in Morgan Stanley, Smith Barney. 8 MR. RAICHT: Those are accounts but not depositor 9 accounts, those are accounts of Caledonian Bank. 10 THE COURT: They're in the name of the bank. 11 MR. RAICHT: Correct. 12 THE COURT: All right. Do any of the depositors 13 -- I mean are there any trust accounts, or are there any accounts -- Caledonian accounts in the U.S. that are for the 14 15 benefit of, in trust for, any other designation of any of 16 the depositors of Caledonian? 17 MR. RAICHT: Not that we're aware of, Your Honor. 18 (Pause) THE COURT: So are you seeking relief that would 19 20 apply to all 1500 plus depositors of Caledonian Bank or only 21 the U.S. depositors? 22 MR. RAICHT: All 1500 depositors. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. RAICHT: To the extent they want to come to

the U.S. and try and attack U.S., assets if there are assets

	1430)
1	in the rest of the world, Your Honor, the stay or the
2	injunction would not apply outside the territorial
3	jurisdiction of the United States. I wish it would, but
4	Congress did not give them that authority.
5	(Pause)
6	THE COURT: Let me hear from Mr. Masumoto, then
7	from the Assistant U.S. Attorney as well.
8	MR. MASUMOTO: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Brian
9	Masumoto from the Office of the United States Trustee.
10	Your Honor, we have we don't have any objection
11	to the TRO.
12	As Mr. Raicht indicated prior to the hearing we
13	did engage in some discussion regarding the operations and
14	the circumstances of the debtor.
15	One of the principal questions that we had was
16	whether or not or what was the total aggregate amount of
17	deposits associated with the U.S. depositors, and as
18	Mr. Raicht indicated they're still in the process of making
19	that determination.
20	Part of the concern was whether or not although
21	we're not taking a position now on the issue of recognition,
22	but if in fact recognition were denied then part of the
23	options might be for the controller side to file an
24	involuntary 7 or an 11 which would then allow the U.S.

depositors to look at the U.S. assets.

So if in fact there were deposits attributable to U.S. depositors in excess of the amounts held in the U.S. we would certainly have some concerns about where the rest of the funds are. My understanding is that the rest of the funds, aside from the approximately 51 percent or \$301 million located here, are in the UK or in Switzerland.

And we've -- also in our discussions I wanted to know if there were any recent transfers outside -- away from the United States, and the discussion was that I guess in the SEC hearing regarding their TRO there was some discussion about movements of money, but none that were attributable to transfer overseas.

So in that regard I guess they're still in the process of determining exactly where the assets are and I guess who the depositors are, and of course that's of interest and concern to us.

One other point that I did want to make is we did inquire as to whether or not the controllers had reached a conclusion as to the solvency of the debtor.

As Mr. Raicht had indicated I had looked online for some news articles and there was an article that indicated that when the shareholders sought to file their voluntary liquidation, which was denied by the Cayman grand court, that the board of directors declined to give a solvency opinion.

1	So from our standpoint, at least with respect to
2	the current debtor, we were interested in knowing the
3	U.S. Trustee is interested in knowing as to whether or not
4	the debtor is insolvent or not. And but that again is in
5	process, they're not in a position to opine on that at the
6	moment.
7	So bottom line however, Your Honor, is based upon
8	all of our discussions the U.S. Trustee has no objection to
9	the TRO that's been sought.
10	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Cowart, do you want to
11	be heard?
12	MR. COWART: Your Honor, the United States has no
13	objection to the (indiscernible).
14	THE COURT: Mr. Raicht, does the SEC know you're
15	here?
16	MR. RAICHT: Last two conversations. Last
17	night, Your Honor, my partner, Margaret Dale, let the SEC
18	know that we would be filing a Chapter 15 today and seeking
19	a TRO. The response was thanks.
20	Ms. Dale earlier today had a conversation with the
21	SEC, they were not they didn't indicate any interest they
22	would be attending today's hearing. They were sent the TRO
23	papers
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	MR. RAICHT: they were told we were going to be

- 1 here.
- THE COURT: All right. So do you have a copy of
- 3 the current injunction that Judge Pauley has entered?
- 4 MR. RAICHT: Yes, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: I'd like to see that.
- 6 MR. RAICHT: May I approach?
- 7 THE COURT: Sure. Please.
- 8 (Pause)
- 9 MR. RAICHT: This is an injunction that was issued
- 10 on Friday on an ex parte basis.
- 11 THE COURT: Yes.
- 12 MR. RAICHT: (Indiscernible) superseded.
- 13 THE COURT: This replaces it entirely, it doesn't
- 14 --
- MS. DALE: It does not replace it --
- 16 THE COURT: Does not.
- MS. DALE: -- in its entirely.
- 18 THE COURT: I got to read both of them together?
- 19 MS. DALE: Yeah. But the modified is more
- 20 relevant.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. Just give me a minute here
- 22 to read this, okay?
- 23 (Pause)
- 24 THE COURT: So let me ask you this, and I know
- 25 this is in the papers, but tell me what's the aggregate

1	amount in Northern Trust and in each of Northern Trust and
2	Morgan Stanley?
3	MS. DALE: Your Honor, there's 10 million the
4	aggregate in Northern Trust is 130 million now
5	135 million, I have to look at the paper.
6	THE COURT: Approximate.
7	MS. DALE: Approximately, yes. And there are
8	securities in the Morgan Stanley account of approximately
9	169 million.
10	THE COURT: And so the as currently in force
11	the TRO obtained by the SEC assures that you'll maintain
12	what number is in each account?
13	MS. DALE: We need to maintain \$10 million in a
14	segregated account at Northern Trust and 66.67 million in
15	securities in the Morgan Stanley account.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And so what happens if I grant
17	the relief you're asking for today, does everything in those
18	accounts above the amounts that are secured, you know, that
19	you are restricted from transferring by virtue of the SEC
20	TRO, is it going to depart this jurisdiction?
21	MS. DALE: It is not.
22	MR. RAICHT: No, Your Honor, it is certainly not,
23	and the relief that we're seeking between now and the
24	recognition hearing status quo is preserved recognition
25	hearing suggests that at that point in time we that

1 the --

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Yeah, you're asking for the relief
under 1521 --

4 MR. RAICHT: Correct, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: -- (a)(5) I guess it is.

MR. RAICHT: Correct.

THE COURT: You want to be able to administer the assets in the Caymans.

MR. RAICHT: Right. And the bank is not doing business, so there shouldn't be a need for depositors to be met or to have money exchanged to go pay depositors, so it should be sitting there for the next extra (indiscernible) days.

THE COURT: Okay. But I didn't see anything in the order you're asking me to sign that would prevent Caledonian from transferring everything in its accounts above the amounts that they're restricted from transferring by the SEC. Did I miss something?

MS. DALE: I don't think you did, but it certainly -- we should certainly add that.

THE COURT: I'm just -- I'm not -- I'm just trying to understand what -- you know, if I grant this relief no depositors can take any action to recover any money that's in these accounts, so they're handcuffed. But I didn't see anything in the order that would handcuff the foreign

- representatives from transferring everything else out of the
 U.S. and saying, oh, we've left what the SEC said we had to
 keep.

 MR. RAICHT: Your Honor, we can put that language
 - MR. RAICHT: Your Honor, we can put that language in. Certainly not the intention nor the understanding that the money would leave the jurisdiction, so we'll put it in.
 - THE COURT: Okay. And I wasn't trying to -- I
 wanted to make sure I was reading the -- I didn't have the
 SEC --
- MR. RAICHT: Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

- 11 THE COURT: -- I saw what you said about the SEC injunction in your papers, but I didn't have that.
- MS. DALE: Well, Your Honor, and also with respect to the SEC modified TRO --
- 15 THE COURT: Yes.
- 16 MS. DALE: -- the February 9th document --
- 17 THE COURT: Yes.
- MS. DALE: -- paragraph 8, we have an agreement
 with the SEC, which was a further stipulation, it was
 actually just signed today, but it hasn't been entered by
 the court, to delete paragraph 8 in its entirety, because
 paragraph 8 had allowed business as usual to be conducted
- 24 THE COURT: Right.

above these amounts.

25 MS. DALE: So that paragraph 8 is going to be --

1 you know, once the controllers came into control we realized 2 that that wasn't really an operative provision any longer, and the SEC agrees that that has to be removed. 3 THE COURT: Okay. So let me address some 4 5 questions about notice. 6 MR. RAICHT: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: And you indicated that the identities 8 of the customers are required to be maintained as 9 confidential, but you referenced a Cayman law but didn't 10 attach it. So, I don't know what it says. 11 MR. RAICHT: Okay. 12 THE COURT: And I think you refer to -- just give 13 me a second. 14 (Pause) 15 THE COURT: You asked for a waiver of the 16 requirements of 1007(a)(4). 17 MR. RAICHT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Which --18 19 (Pause) 20 THE COURT: -- and I think you were referring to 21 Rule 1007(a)(4)(B), unless the Court orders otherwise a list 22 containing, and then I'll switch to the end, all entities 23 against whom provisional relief is being sought in 1519. So 24 you asked for waiver of that.

MR. RAICHT: Correct, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: In 2002(q)(1) requires the clerk or
2	some other person ass the Court may direct shall forth with
3	give the debtor all persons or bodies authorized to
4	administer acquired proceedings of the debtor all entities
5	against whom provisional relief is being sought under 1519
6	of the Code. That's notice. And there's no provision about
7	the Court waiving any requirement for notice. When you give
8	notice you're also required to file an affidavit of service
9	that you gave notice.
10	MR. RAICHT: And just to be clear, we are
11	intending to give actual notice to all 1,500 depositors.
12	The issue would be the affidavit of service
13	THE COURT: Right.
14	MR. RAICHT: where we list their names and
15	address, because that would be the issue that would
16	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have a copy of that
17	privacy statute Cayman privacy statute that you referred
18	to?
19	MS. DALE: I didn't bring it.
20	MR. RAICHT: We didn't bring it, Your Honor. We
21	can get a copy to chambers.
22	THE COURT: Okay. So
23	MR. RAICHT: And, Your Honor, if I may,
24	Mr. Masumoto and I have spoke to this about this issue
25	prior

1 THE COURT: Sure.

MR. RAICHT: -- to the hearing and I think it was the U.S. Trustee's position that think wanted some time to think about this, and we certainly were not -- on the short notice we have today and the issues Your Honor has if Your Honor does not want to rule on the issue of waiving notice that would be understandable, but I think that we would just have to serve it in a way -- we're going to make sure we get service done, and then filing the notice maybe we would just do it how -- the way we think we best can do it in compliance with the law, and then we'll have to take up this issue a different time.

THE COURT: Mr. Masumoto, do you want to --

MR. RAICHT: Sorry, we can potentially represent by account number without --

THE COURT: Well, I'll tell you what I think
thinking about is I was going to make you file it under seal
because -- and I'll tell you why, okay? If someone comes in
and objects --

MR. RAICHT: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: -- I want to see who they are.

MR. RAICHT: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Okay? I'm not looking to require public disclosure of that which a generally applicable Cayman law may prohibit public disclosure.

1	MR. RAICHT: Uh-huh.
2	THE COURT: And this precise issue hasn't come
3	before me, but there have been a number of sealing issues
4	under Section 107 of the Bankruptcy Code that have, and what
5	I I want to give you a chance to respond to this and
6	Mr. Masumoto.
7	What I'd be inclined to do is require you to serve
8	that list on the U.S. Trustee and file it under seal with
9	the Court, but I'm not looking I don't like the notion of
10	enjoining people who I have no clue who they are, okay?
11	That's a lot of what is motivating me.
12	MR. RAICHT: Right.
13	THE COURT: And the Code well the rules in 1001
14	that you did refer to, but 2002(q)
15	MR. RAICHT: Uh-huh.
16	THE COURT: does require notice to anybody
17	you're seeking provisional relief over.
18	Let me hear from Mr. Masumoto and then I'll give
19	you a chance, Mr. Raicht.
20	MR. MASUMOTO: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	Your Honor, as Mr. Raicht indicated we did have a
22	discussion regarding this matter. From our standpoint we
23	have no problem with the sealing.
24	With respect to the disclosure we wanted to make

sure that the understanding was that the waiver issue would

not be addressed -- would not be decided today, and that
even if for purposes of the affidavit of service that the
names were not disclosed that ultimately when the issue was
before the Court and decided by the Court if the Court
determined it should be disclosed that they would
retroactively do so. We didn't want any estoppel to arise
based upon today's affidavit of service.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. RAICHT: That's correct, Your Honor, and I'm just reviewing an email that -- from -- that I received earlier in the day anticipating Your Honor's request of potentially sealing the list, and it's my understanding that the controllers would need to go back to the Cayman court to effectively get a blessing that that would be okay.

THE COURT: They may think so, but I might not.

MR. RAICHT: Yeah, I understand, Your Honor. And I think it's okay from their -- really from their perspective of their own liability, because that was -- and that would be subject to the Cayman law that they would be in violation of.

I guess, you know, one of the things, Your Honor, we thought about perhaps somehow having account numbers being the way to list them that way or we can defer this issue for another day.

THE COURT: Well what I'm prepared to do is defer

- the filing under seal of the identities and contact
 information of the depositors, but I want to make clear my
 instincts as I'm going require you to file it under seal but
 I'm not going to decide that.
 - So if the -- if your clients in the Caymans want to go back to court and get the court there -- seek to get approval two things, to provide to the U.S. Trustee -- to file under seal with the Court and provide to the U.S. Trustee, subject to the confidentiality restrictions, they should go back and seek that, and without prejudice to the U.S. Trustee's right to argue that it has to be publicly disclosed. Okay? I'm not -- so I'm not precluding the U.S. Trustee from taking that position.
 - MR. MASUMOTO: Thank you, Your Honor.
 - THE COURT: But -- and it'll also give you a chance if you want to take another crack at convincing me that you shouldn't have to do that. I'm not trying to put you on the spot today, but that sort of jumped out at me when I read the papers.
 - MR. RAICHT: Appreciate that, Your Honor.
 - THE COURT: Okay? And I mostly -- frankly, you know, I'm going give you a schedule and if I start getting objections I'm going to look against the list.
- MR. RAICHT: Of course, Your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Masumoto, is there anything

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 you wanted to add?

MR. MASUMOTO: Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So first with respect to the request for the temporary restraining order applying Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(b).

First the moving parties have established an appropriate basis for seeking relief ex parte.

The affidavit submitted in support of the temporary restraining order sets forth specific facts clearly showing that immediate and irreparable injury, loss, or damage will result to the movants before the adverse party can be -- potential adverse parties can be heard in opposition to the motion for temporary restraining order.

While the moving papers argue alternative grounds for granting a temporary restraining order the Court believes that applying the probability -- applying probability of success and likelihood of irreparable injury, both of those requirements for temporary restraining order are satisfied.

With respect to probability of success the papers submitted in support of the Chapter 15 petition establish a probability of success of this chapter -- of the Cayman proceeding being determined to be a foreign main proceeding.

Now, I understand that there -- the papers do a good job of explaining whether under the banking law or the

bankruptcy law that this would be determined to be a covered proceeding under Chapter 15, they've certainly shown probability of success on that.

With respect to the likelihood of irreparable injury the Court concludes that the moving papers, the affidavit submitted in support of the temporary restraining order clearly establishes the likelihood of irreparable injury if immediate relief is not granted pursuant to Section 1519 of the Bankruptcy Code.

The papers establish -- my term, not the term used -- the potential run on the bank in insufficient proceeds available on accounts held in the United States to satisfy all creditor claims.

One of the governing overriding purposes of

Chapter 15 in the model law is to assure a fair and

equitable distribution to all creditors of a foreign debtor,

and when appropriate have the matter administered in the

foreign proceeding.

The papers also establish that one or more attorneys have been actively seeking clients to pursue matters in the U.S., and so the Court concludes that the papers show a likelihood of irreparable injury if relief is not granted.

Rule 65(b)(2) provides that a temporary restraining order expires at the time after entry not to

1	exceed 14 days that the Court sets unless before that time
2	the Court for good cause extends it for a like period or the
3	adverse party consents. Well you don't know who the adverse
4	party is right at the moment.
5	Because of the Court's schedule I will be away and
6	not available in 14 days, so I'm going schedule a
7	preliminary injunction hearing for February 25th at 2 p.m.
8	The objection deadline shall be Monday, February 23rd at
9	9 a.m. And the deadline for replies should be February 24th
10	at 5 p.m.
11	Mr. Raicht, how quickly do you believe you can
12	give notice of the in setting the recognition hearing?
13	How quickly are you in a position to give notice? See you
14	have to give 21 days, but frankly I think it's odd, but the
15	rules seem to say that objectors would have 21 days to
16	object. So
17	MR. RAICHT: I'm glad Your Honor saw the same
18	ambiguity that I did.
19	We would propose that we're going to get
20	everything out the door, we're anticipating two business
21	days from today, so end of business day Wednesday.
22	THE COURT: So 21 days after that is what?
23	(Pause)
24	MR. RAICHT: March 11. I think it's March 11,

Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Well what I'm going to do to I'm
2	going to schedule the hearing for March 17th at 11 o'clock,
3	I'm going to set an objection deadline of March 12th at
4	10 a.m., and a reply deadline of March 16th at 12 noon.
5	With those dates work for you?
6	MR. RAICHT: Those are fine, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Masumoto?
8	MR. MASUMOTO: That's fine, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay. I want to give enough time if
10	people want to file their objections and you get a reply
11	and
12	MR. RAICHT: All right, thank you.
13	THE COURT: Okay. You've also asked for a waiver
14	of Section 1514(c).
15	MR. RAICHT: Uh-huh.
16	THE COURT: So 1514(c) deals with notice to
17	foreign creditors about the time for filing proofs of claim,
18	and I assume no dates have been set in the Caymans.
19	MR. RAICHT: That's correct, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right. So what I'm going to do is
21	I'm going to suspend the application of 1514(c) pending
22	further order of the Court, if necessary.
23	So the guidance that I've generally seen is don't
24	set the date until the foreign proceeding has set a date,
25	and then set the same date if that's but so, I'm going

- to suspend, but not waive the requirements. We'll just see
 where things should go.
- MR. RAICHT: Right.
- THE COURT: Okay. So the most important thing is
 that you get -- we get an order filed and we'll do that with
 the TRO. So the TRO is granted effective February 16th,

 2015 at 3:45 p.m., I'm supposed to note the date and time.
- 8 MR. RAICHT: Your Honor, we're going to make a
 9 modification to the TRO regarding not transferring
 10 everything -- any --
- THE COURT: Yes. You're agreeing to include that,

 I'm not making -- I'm not ordering whether -- I'm not

 determining whether what I would have had to do in the

 absence of it, but it just -- it jumped out at me that that

 was not --
- MR. RAICHT: Yeah.
- 17 THE COURT: -- something that was -- do you have
 18 it on a computer with you or --
- MR. RAICHT: We sent an electronic copy to chambers.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay. Maybe what you can do is when
 22 we finish the hearing work with Jason Pierce, my law
 23 clerk --
- MR. RAICHT: Right.
- THE COURT: -- and let's make sure we get the

- 1 language. You can come on in -- somebody can come into 2 chambers and we'll get that language included. And I just want to make clear on the record, 3 inclusion of that language at this stage does not 4 5 necessarily mean that language will and won't remain in any 6 preliminary injunction that if one is -- if one is issued. 7 MR. RAICHT: Yes, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: I just after -- we've had a discussion 9 about what my concern was there. Yeah, I think if anything 10 it may go a long way to alleviate the concerns of --11 MR. RAICHT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: -- some of your foreign depositors 12 13 that they know the money is -- whatever is there is there, 14 it isn't going anywhere in the meantime. 15 MR. RAICHT: (Indiscernible), Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay? Anybody else? Anybody else 17 have anything they want to add? MR. RAICHT: Just again, Your Honor, thank you 18 19 very much for the time today --20 THE COURT: Quite all right.
- 23
- we thank you again.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay. We're adjourned.
- 25 MR. RAICHT: Thank you.

this is a holiday and he'd rather be doing other things, but

MR. RAICHT: -- and to Mr. Pierce as well, I know

21

		Page	28
1	MR. MASUMOTO: Thank you, Your Honor.		
2	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Masumoto.		
3	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at	3:46	PM)
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	I	Page 29	
1	INDEX		
2			
3	RULINGS		
4		PAGE	
5	The petitioners' ex parte application for a temporary	,	
6	restraining order (Dkt. 4)	22	
7			
8	Motion for an order scheduling recognition hearing		
9	and specifying form and manner of service of notice		
10	(Dkt. 5)	21	
11			
12	Motion for entry of an order waiving the requirements	}	
13	of Fed. R. Bank. P. 1007(a)(4) (Dkt. 7)	25	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	Page 30
1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Dawn South, certify that the foregoing transcript is a
4	true and accurate record of the proceedings.
5	Dawn South DN: cn=Dawn South, o=Veritext, ou, email=digital@veritext.com, c=US
6	Date: 2015.02.18 09:40:04 -05'00'
7	Dawn South
8	AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET**D-408
9	
10	
11	
12	Date: February 18, 2015
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Veritext Legal Solutions
23	330 Old Country Road
24	Suite 300
25	Mineola, NY 11501